P 28 E-K/P

App. F. IX-4

1929. (V.O.)

File No. 1-29

Previous References
V.O 1-29 Sls 18, 24, 25
1-29 82, 88, 84

Serial Nos. 122, 123
132, 133 & 134

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for Nov-30

SUBJECT.

Petn from messrs B. Venkata Rao & S Venk Ratnaraja on behalf of messrs Chenda ppa Basappa and others, residing in Gumaman Village, Davangere Taluk, protesting against a cancellation of certain lands in the village for reqd for cattle path-

---

Sl 134) 30

Chendappa & others
No 45-70 dy 26-11-30

Informs the petitioners that the petn is rejected

Copy to D.C. Chitaldrug
No 45-71 dy 26-11-30

L 37

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | VP File No. | of 192

Serial No.

Petition dated 29th June 1930, from Messrs B. Venkatadas and S. Venkatapathaya on behalf of Messrs Bheemappana Basappa and others residing in Gummanur village, Anagodu hobli, Davanagere protesting against the acquisition of Svs 119, 118, 92, 109 to 93 of the village required for a cattle path.

P — V. P. File[X] 1-29-84. Sanctioning the acquisition of the properties referred to is put up.

X — While submitting final proposals for sanction, the Dy Commr Chitaldrug stated in his letter dt 28.2.30 that the owners of Sy No 119 had put in an objection petn stating that the foot path shown in the Nakasa may well be used as cattle path and that the alleged Manavalli proposed to be opened is not necessary and that on inspection of the spot by the Sub Division officer it was found that the foot path being narrow could not be used as a cattle path in as much as it was steep and stony and that the objections of the takkardars were noted

The petitioners protest against the acquisition of the lands on the following grounds

1) that the Deputy Commr refused to hear the objections of the petitioners

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 02323—GPB—10,000—22-4-30

General and Revenue Secretariat | V. P File No. 1 of 1929. 2

Serial No.

2) That there is no necessity for changing the course of the existing path which runs through the mamool Vari.

3) That even the opponents did not really want to have the existing course of the path changed but that they took such a move on account of party feelings with a View to cause inconvenience to the general body of villagers.

3) That the opponents are on inimical terms with the petitioners.

4) That the Local officers who inspected the land were guided by the representation of the patel and his people and did not ascertain the Views of the petitioners

5) That the intended path is to go through a khala for a distance of two or three furlongs it will cause great inconvenience to the villagers in the rainy season.

6) That the petitioners are prepared to repair the old lane at their own cost, as it will serve the purpose of the general public.

~~To obtain all these facts were placed before the Local authorities and Considered by them before passing of the final order~~

The petitioners pray that an order may be issued, staying the execution of-

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22-4-30

37/2 831

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | App. F. III—2]

9

Serial No.	
	the proceedings in the matter.
	Point for orders.
	1) Whether a report with records may be called for from the Deputy Commr.
	2) Whether a stay order may be issued.
	Submitted for orders
	83 4/7/30 AS
	2nd Member) VS 3.7.30
	(1) yes.
	CSZ 4/7/VS.
	Draft AS 5/7/30
	Submitted with a draft for approval
	83 5.7.30. AS 7/7
	Issue VS 8.7.30
129	Ack memo No. L199 dt 8.7.30 of the Dy Comr, Chitaldrug dt- Calls for a report with records on Case Pet 3
	Sec A 9/7
132	Petn from Sivalingappa Letters of Gummanur village, Davanagere Taluk, praying that the order already passed sanctioning the acquisition of his property, may not be set aside.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
3 JULY 1930
No. 47

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22.4.30

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 1 of 1929. 4

Serial No.

A report has been called for from the Dy Commr Chitaldrug Dist on a petition presented by Basappa Kotrus of the same village and the receipt of the same may be awaited & if approved submitted for order

8/3/1928

A Please issue a reminder on the 25th instant if no reply be received by that time.

18.8.30

Reminder issued No. L 1800 g 1-4-30

133 Letter No. A-4-Agl 6/29-30 & 10-9-30 from the Dep Comr Chitaldrug dtd

Forwards the entire records received from the Sub-Dy Officer of Davangere with Res memo No. L-1 Cr. 69-73/29-30 & 10-9-30 which explains in full the points urged in the petition.

From the Sub-Division Officer's report it is seen that the Sub-Dy Officer after personal inspection of the spot is of opinion that the existing foot-path is natural and pleasing in the having regard and

Note—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | R.P File No: of 1929.

Serial No.

and ~~steep~~ steep, that this cannot be regarded as a path for cattle, that the proposed route lies on hard rock and through the wide bed of the Kalla and that the objections of the petitioners against the proposed acquisition is untenable.

The Sub-ord Officer further states that the proposed ~~acquisition~~ formation of the path is necessary, ~~and that~~

The acquisition proceedings as per Govt order No. L 6806-8/ ~~at~~ R.P 1-29-83 & the 26th March 1930 are reported to have been stayed pending final orders on the present petition.

In the circumstances reported, whether the Dy Comr may be asked to proceed with the acquisition and whether the petitioners may be informed that their Contention is untenable, are for orders.

26

2397/30

Messrs B. Venkata Rao and S. Venkatapataiya, Advocates for the petitioners wanted that an opportunity may be given to them before the case is disposed. The main objection

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

1417

praised reasons to the Dy. Commr not hearing the parties in connection with their petition dated 12-3-30 presented to the Dy. Commr.

The preliminary notification for requisition was published on 9-9-29 and objections received within one month have to be considered and orders passed. The Advocate alleges that the Dy. Commr has acted contrary to the provisions of Section 5 A. The allegation does not appear to be correct. The other points urged in the petition do not appear to deserve any serious consideration and the Dy. Supdt. officer has clearly explained why the acquisition is necessary. The sketches flagged A & B show the relative positions of the existing and proposed paths.

The points for orders are :—

(1) whether it is necessary to hear the parties, or

(2) whether the D.C. may be asked to proceed with the acquisition proceedings.

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 26 SEPT. 1930 No. 3763

1st Member 25.9.30

22/9/30

Register with Land Acquisition Register

Submitted with Land Acquisition Register

12/10/30

General and Revenue Secretariat | V. P. File No. 147 of 1929.

Serial No.	
	No objection seems to have been filed within the time prescribed in sec 5(a). The present pet. may be rejected. CBS 27/10/30. Draft
	Draft put up for appln. Issue 3/11/30. 8.11.30
134	No. L. 45-70 dt 26-11-30 to m. chendappa Basappa Sokas. Informs the petitioners that the petition is rejected. L. 45-71 dt 26-11-30 copy to Sr, Clerk.

27/11/30 L. S. G. BR.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03563—G.P.B.—50,000—8-7-30.

V.P. 1-29-122.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE.

Rev. Pet. No. of 29-30.

PETITIONERS:-

1. Chendappana Basappa,
2. Sannabasaviah
3. Chendappana Kallappa,
4. Gurubasappa,
5. Chennaveeriah,
6. Madivali Basappa,
7. Bhavihal Hariyappa,
8. Aiyagala Gurusiddappa,
9. Madihalli Chennappa,
10. Aiyagal Chenna,

and others. All residing in Gummanoor, Anagadu Hobli, Davangere Taluk, Chitaldroog District.

-:VS:-

OPPONENTS:-

1. Patel Sivalingappa,
2. Gurusiddappa,
3. Gowda Chennappa ,
4. Gowda Sangappa and others.

All residing in Gummanoor, Anagadu Hobli, Davangere Taluk. Chitaldroog District.

In the matter of the Land Acquisition proceedings pertaining to Survey Nos. 119, 118, 92, 101 and 93 belonging to Gummanoor, Anagode Hobli Davangere Taluk.

.....

The petitioners most respectfully beg of the Government to revise the orders of the Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldroog, passed on 15-4-30, (served on the petitioners in the 1st week of May) refusing to hear the objections of the petitioners to the acquisition of their lands on the ground that the acquisition of the said lands had been sanction by the Government by their Order dated 25-3-30 D. 6806-8/ V.P. 1-29-83, and also to withdraw from the acquisition of the lands under Section 48 of the Land Acquisition Regulation on the following among other grounds : -

1. The learned Deputy Commissioner was wrong in refusing to hear the objections of the petitioners to the acquisition of their lands when they presented their objections in the form of a petition soon after they came to know of the publication of the intended acquisition proceedings in the Government Gazettee.

2. The learned Deputy Commissioner was wrong in refusing to hear the said -

objections on the ground that the Government had already sanctioned the said acquisition proceedings. In doing so he has acted contrary to the provisions of section 5 A of the Land Acquisition Regulation which Section was newly added by Regulation 1 of 1927.

9

11

3. The petitioners further pray that the Government be pleased to withdraw from the Land Acquisition proceedings by virtue of the power vested in them under Section 48 of the Land Acquisition Regulation on the following among other grounds :-

1. The petitioners and their party who form almost the entire body of villagers affirm that there is absolutely no necessity for changing the course of the existing path which runs through the mamool voni.

2. That there is no such necessity is evidenced by the petition presented by the opponents themselves to the Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldroog dated 25-3-30 (a copy of which is appended hereunto) which clearly shows that even the opponents did not really want to have the existing course of the path - changed but that they took such a move on account of party feelings. The subsequent attempt on the part of the opponents to go

back upon their original statements on a lame pretext further proves their mala - fide intention to cause inconvenience to the general body of villagers and want of any other genuine grievance.

3. The opponents who constitute the patel of the village and a few of his - followers are on inimical terms with the petitioners on account of the latter having reported to the authorities the unauthorised cutting by the patel of a mango tree situated on the bank of a Halla running near the - village. A. criminal case is also pending between the parties in the Magistrate's Court at Davangere on account of the opponent patel and his party having driven their cattle in the petitioners' lands and damaged their crops.

4. The reason why the opponent patel and his party want the existing path through the voni to be abandoned becomes clear when it is noted that the existing voni runs in the middle of the survey numbers belonging to the patel and his party, and that the land belonging to the voni has been encroached upon on either side and that the cattle going constantly through the voni stray into the opponent's lands and damage the crops since

the opponents have not taken the trouble to protect their lands by fences. since the the proposed path is to pass through the petitioners' lands the opponents want the existing inconvenience to their lands to be shifted on to the lands of their enemies the petitioners.

5. The local officers who came to inspect the position of the existing lane were guided purely by the representations of the patel and his people and did not ascertain the views of the petitioners who form the general body of the villagers. In fact the petitioners did not know of the purpose with which the local officers had been brought to the village by the patel and his party until long afterwards.

6. Since the intended path is to go through a hall for a distance of two or three furlongs it will cause great inconvenice to the villagers during the rainy season. Moreover the hall at the place where the old path crosses it is not very deep and the soil is well settled and admits of easy crossing even during seasons of heavy rains.

7. The assertion of the opponents that the existing lane becomes miry and unfit

for use during the rainy season is entirely false. The petitioners affirm that the change of the old lane is in no way beneficial either to the villagers or to the public in general.

12

8. Since the old lane is for the general advantage of the whole village and also for the surrounding villages the petitioners and their party are ready torepair the old lane at their own cost to the satisfaction of the authorities, and if the authorities remove the encroachment on the lane on either side there will be much greater convenience to the villagers as well as to the public in general in retaining the existing path through the old lane than by changing it as intended.

P R A Y E R .

The petitioners, therefore, pray that the Government may be pleased to call for the records of the case and revise the orders of the Deputy Commissioner referred to above.

(b) and to withdraw from the acquisition of the lands of which possession has not yet been taken by virtue of the powers vested in them under Section 48 of the Land Acquisition Regulation since the acquisition is not for the benefit of those for whom it is intended,

13

(c) and also to direct the land acquisition officers in the case to stay further proceedings till the disposal of this - petition.

(d) and the petitioners also pray that since a point of law on the interpretation of the scope of Section 5 -A of the Land Acquisition regulation is to be decided and that since the subject matter of the petition concerns the interests of the general body of the villagers of Gummanore and the surrounding villagers an opportunity may be -given-for-their Counsel being heard before the petition is disposed of.

B. Venkata Rao.

S. Venkatapathaiya

ADVOCATES FOR PETITIONERS

Bangalore

Dated 27th June 30.



ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ

ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆ ದಾಖಲಾಗಿರುವ

14

ನಂ 192 — 2

ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್

ಕೆಲವರು ಮಾಲೀಕರು

VS

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಆಪೋನೆಂಟ್

ಕೆಲವರು ಮಾಲೀಕರು

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟರು

ಮಾ॥ ರಾ॥ ಸಂಪಿಗೆ ವೆಂಕಟಪತ್ಯೆಯ್ಯನವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರ್ನಾಮೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ತು ನಾಮೆ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು

1. ನ ಪರ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ, ಆಪ್ಪೈರ್‌ವರಿವಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದವನ್ನು ಜರುಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ

2 ನ ಪರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿ ಕ್ರಮ ನಡೆಸಿ ಹಣವನ್ನು ವಸೂಲ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ

3 ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನ ಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಬರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಇತರೆ ಜಾರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಸಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಮತ್ತು ರೀಫಂಡು ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನೂ ಸಹ ಪಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೂ

4. ದಸ್ತ್ಯೆವಜು ಮುಂತಾದವುಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸ್ ಪಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೇನೆ. ಈ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಭಾಗೆಗಳೆಲ್ಲೂ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ, ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಕೈಮುವರಿತು ನಡೆಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನೇ ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿದೇನೆ

ತಾರೀಖು

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರ್ನಾಮೆಯನ್ನು ಆಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದೇನೆ.

B. Venkata Rao

S. Venkatapathaiya

Advocate for A/c

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರ್ನಾಮೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮದಲ್ಲಿ ರುಜುವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 46

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

(Encls. Appl. Petn. and its 4 encls in all 5). No. L. 199 dt 8. 7. 30 V. P. I-29-129

The accompanying Petition Registered No. L 37 dated 27th June 1930 received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Messrs B. Venkatalla and S. Venkatapathaiya advocate on behalf of Messrs Bhandappana Basappa and others residing in Gummanoor village, Anagodu hobli, Davanagere taluk, protesting against the acquisition of Sy. Nos. 119, 118, 92, 109 & 93 Gulle village required for cattle shed

is forwarded, ~~together~~ with its ~~enclosures~~ compliments to the ~~Deputy Commissioner~~ Chitaldrug District for submission of a report with the connected records

By Order,

8.7.30

For General Secretary.

BANGALORE, 5.7.30

.....19..... P. T. O.

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8-8-28

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್..... ....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಡ್ ಹುಕುಂ

.....

....

....

.....

....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ .. ..

ಮರ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು.. ....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

....

.....ರವರ ಖಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಟ್ಟಿಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.



SECRETARIAT
R. 442
26 JUN 1930
REVENUE BRANCH

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
16 AUG. 1930

V.P. 1-29-132.

17

BEFORE

THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE

MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

BANGALORE

PETITIONERS:-

1. Sivalingappa,
2. Bassappa,
3. Thalavar Channabassappa,
4. Gowdera Hallappa,
5. Hullumanay Channappa,
6. Thalavar Virupakchappa,
7. Kumbara Virupakchappa

Vs.

Opponents:-

1. Hingappa,
2. Channabassappa,

The petitioners and the opponents are the residents of Gummanoor village, Davengere taluk.

The petitioners above named begs to state as follows:-

1. At Gummanoor Village, Davengere Taluk there was a manmool path in Survey numbers 118 & 119 by wich the village cattle are passing to the forest for grazing.

2. The land S.N. 119 was recently purchased by the opponents and one Durappa . The

the opponents tried to obstruct the path while Gurappa the joint purchaser and the owners of the land S.NO.118 were willing to allow the path to continue as per "mammool"

3. A dispute arose and petitions were sent to the Amildar Who was pleased to order that no obstruction should be caused to the passing of the cattle and further intimated that steps will be taken to acquire that portion of the land wich is covered by the path above said.

4. Accordingly proposal for acquisition of the land was sent to the SubDivisional Officer & the records were submitted to the Deputy Commissioner.

5. Meanwhile the opponents Mingappa and the other filed a criminal complaint against the petitioners In Davengere Magistrate court for criminal tresnass when the cattle were driven through the path in S.No. 119 as per to the order of the Amildar. The case is yet pending.

6. Further the opponents made a show of compromise, pretended that they were willing to allow the path as per mamool and said that they should send another petition to the Government in order to expedite the acqusition proceedings. They took the Thumb impressions of the Petitioners in a paper and went to Chitaldrug saying that they would get the petitions written by an Advocate at Chitaldrug.

9

7. Then the Petitioners come to know that the Opponants in order to create evidence for their criminal case, and to get the acqusition proceedings cancelled, fraudulantly wrote in the paper in which they had taken the signatures of the petitioners that the path inquestion was a new one and that the petitioners were not in need of the path and that the acqusition proceedings may be cancelled and so on and forwared it to Deputy Commissioner.

8. Immediately the petitioners submitted a counter petition to the Deputy Commissioner stating the facts in para7 and praying that they should not be deprived of the use of the path pasing through S. N. 118 119: Copy of the petition submitted, has been herewith enclosed .

9. There-upon the Deputy Commissioner forwarded his recommendation for the acqusition and the Government have approved of the proceedings and the publication in the Gazette is also made.

10. Now it seems that the petitioners have applied to the Government to cancell the acqusition proceedings and also to issue a temporary injection restraining the Petitioners from making use of the path and also to cancell the acqusition proceedings. They seems to have submitted a copy of the fradulent petition.

11. If any order of injection is granted and the



೧೨

೧೨೨

ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಸಿದ್ಧಪಟ್ಟ ಡಿಪ್ಟ್ಯೂಟಿ ಕಮೀಷ್ಟ್ರ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ

ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ

ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಗುಂಪ್ಪನೇರು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಪಾಟೀಲ ಶಿವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ಮಂಡಿಸಿ
ಕೊಟ್ರಪ್ಪ ಮಂಡಿ ಬಿದಪ್ಪ ಇವರಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪರವರು ವಾಸ್ತವ್ಯ ಗುಂಡಿ
ಸಬಪಟ್ಟ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಹಿಸ್ಸೆಯಾದ ಪಟ್ಟ ಶಿವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ವಾಸ್ತವ್ಯ ಗಾರ್ಡ್ರಾಂ
ಲಪ್ಪ ಗಾರ್ಡ್ರ ಶಿವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ಮಂಗಡಿ ನಾಗಪ್ಪರು ಮ್ಲುವನೆ ಬನ್ನಿಪ್ಪ
ಇವರು ಬರೆಸಿರುವ ರೂಂತ ಅರ್ಜಿ —

ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ —

ಗುಂಪ್ಪನೇರು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು 119+118 ವನ್ನ
ಕಿನಂಬರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ದನಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೊಡೆದಾಡುವ್ಕೆ ಇರುವ ಸಾಮೂಲು ದಾರಿಯನ್ನ
ನ್ನೆ ಪ್ರಮುವಾಗಿ ಅರಣ್ಯದವರು ಕುಂಟಿಯೋಳ್ಳಬೇಡಿಂದು ನಿಷೇಧ ಮಾಯಿಸ್ಕೊ
ಅರಣ್ಯದವರ್ಲಿಂದಿಂದ ಅಡಚಣೆಯಾಗದಂತೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಾನ್ಯರವರಿಗೆ ಮನ
ಸ್ಸು ಕೊಂಡ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ಅಪಾಯವಾಗುವುದು ಅರಣ್ಯದವರು ಹೊಡೆದು ಇನ್ನು
ಎಮ್ಮೆಯಂಬಿಟಲ್ಲಾ ಸಾಮೂಲಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬರುವುದು ಅಂಜಿ ಹೋಗಿ ಮೇಯ
ಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಯಾಕೆಂದರೆ ಅರಣ್ಯದವರ್ಲಿ ಬಂದು ಹೊಡೆದು ಬಡಿದು
ಬೇಲಿಯಾಕಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಅಂಜಿ ಹೋಗಿ ಮುಂಜಿ ದಿನ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ
ನಮ್ಮ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ದಾರಿಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಅಂದು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ

ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಇತ್ಯರ್ಥ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವ
ದಾರಿಯಿಂದು ನಾವು ಎಂದೂ ನಮ್ಮವರು ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬರಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವ ಅಡ್ಡಿ
ಆತಂಕವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಸಾವಕಾಶವಾಗಿ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಾನ್ಯರವರಿಗೆ
ಕೇಳ್ಕೊಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಕೆ ಇಷ್ಟಾಗಿ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿ ನಿಷೇಧ ಮಾಯಿಸ್ಕೊಡುವಂತೆ
ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವೆವು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ

ಶಿವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ತಂ ಚನ್ನಪ್ಪ ಸರ್ಕಾರ್ ಮಂಡಿಸಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ
ಎಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ತಂ ಚನ್ನಪ್ಪ ಮಂಡಿಸಿ ಶಿವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ಅರಳಿಹಾಳ
ವಿಶ್ವನಾಥಪ್ಪ ಅರಳಿಹಾಳ ಇವರೆಲ್ಲರು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದವರು

ಗುಂಪ್ಪನೇರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಶಿವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ತಂ ಚನ್ನಪ್ಪ ಮಂಡಿಸಿ

Head Munshi
D.C.'s office

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER, DAVANGERE

Copy applied on 11.7.30

Copy ready on 13.7.30

Copy delivered on

Copy received by Sh Ramesh

Commandant (G1)

Copying Fees: 0-6-0



23

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

Before the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

O.S.—— S.C.—— Ex.—— Mis.——

ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪೊನೆಂಟ್

Sivalingappa, Basappa,
T. Channa basappa,
Gowda Hollappa, H. Lakkappa, T. Virupakshappa
and K. Virupakshappa
of Gummanur.

Nirgappa
Basappa.

ಮಾ॥ರಾ॥ ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ ಎಚ್. ಯಂ. ಸಿದ್ದರಾಮಯ್ಯ ಬಿ. ಎ., ಎಲ್. ಎಲ್. ಬಿ.,
ಜಿ. ಸಿ. ವೀರಪ್ಪ ಬಿ. ಎ., ಎಲ್ ಎಲ್. ಬಿ.,
ಆಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಸ್,
ಜಿ, ಅರಳೇಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.
ಇವರಿಗೆ

ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು, ಪ್ರೊಸೆಸ್ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡೆಮಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ಅಥವ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನೂ ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲೂ ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದೇನೆ.

ತಾ 13 ಕೆ 20

ರುಜು

ಈ ವೊಕ್ಕಾ್ಯರ್ ನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನ್ನ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮವು ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಈ ವೊಕ್ಕಾತ್ ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದೇನೆ. ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಫೈ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಯದೇವ ಪ್ರೆಸ್ ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ.

H. M. Liddaramaiah
Advocate
Davangere.

V. P. 1-29-133

Tel. Address:—Depcom.
CHITALDRUG.

In reply, please refer to
No. A.4.Acq. 6/29-30
Enclosures. one file

OFFICES OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

Dated, Chitaldrug, 10th Sept. 1930.

26

£ 1417

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
15 SEPT. 1930
L. & G. BRANCH

From

C. S. KUPPUSWAMIENGAR, Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

To

The General Secretary to Government,

Sir,

Subject;- Acquisition of S.Nos. 119,118,,92,101, and 93 of Gummanoor Village Anagodu Hobli Davangere Taluk required for Cattle path.

With reference to your Official memorandum No. L. 199/V.P.1-29-129 dated 8th July 1930 calling for report with the connected records on the petition of Messrs Chandappanna Bassappa and others of Gummanoor Village protesting against the acquisition of the above S.Nos. for a cattle path, I have the honour to forward herewith the entire records received from the S.D.O. Davangere with his memo No. L.A.J. 69-73/29-30. dated 16th August 1930 which explains fully the points urged in the petition.

The acquisition proceedings are reported to have been stayed pending final orders.

The appeal petition and its four enclosures are returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Revenue Assistant Commissioner
For Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

V

Section V. P

File No. 1-29

Serial No. 134

No. L 465-70

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 26/11/30

Signed by Mr V. Sastri

List of enclosures.....

Copied by 27/11/30

Examined by.....

To Endorsement granted o

Mrs Chendalappanar 1d agath

and others residing in Summan

village, Mayavaram Taluk

with reference to the

petition dy 27th June 1930

praying that acquisition proceedi

in respect of acquisition of lands

and

one of S.S. nos 119, 118, 92, 101/1

Summanur village which

Mrs Indrace required for a

cattle path may be withdrawn

the petitioners are informed

that the petition is regre

the request cannot be complied with, as the land

is required by Govt

27.11.30

for Sec. to Govt.

27/11/30

P. T. O.

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

Urgent

11 2.

Any W. Ltr, cmdg. with the
equit. trials action may be taken
- proceed with the acquittal proceedings.
No records are required

By order

Lt. Gen. Grey

Adjutant

7/10/20